



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,459	06/19/2001	Donald E. Alfano	CYGL-25,768	2744
25883 7:	590 11/12/2004	EXAMINER		
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P P.O. BOX 741715			KIM, KENNETH S	
DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			ART UNIT PAPER NU	
			2111	

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· ·	09/885,459	ALFANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth S KIM	2111			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	October 2004				
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	tiver election requirement	KENNETH S. KIM			
Application Papers Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the I he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicati riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) • Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/13/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,459

Art Unit: 2111

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 1, 2004 has been entered.

- 1. Claims 1-6 remain for examination and claims 8-13 remain withdrawn.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the current abstract does not reflect the inventive feature of the claimed invention to distinguish over the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, it is not clear whose functionality is associated with what in "the reconfigurable interface capable to define the functionality associated therewith".

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cheung et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,262,594 in view of Allen et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,732,207, both cited in the previous office action.

<u>Cheung et al</u> teaches the invention substantially as claimed as set forth in the previous office action incorporated herein by reference and further teaches a plurality of functional input/output blocks (col. 5, line 9) having an associated functionality (col. 4,

Art Unit: 2111

line 56) and a requirement for a defined number of i/o pins (col. 4, line 45; col. 5, line 9) wherein the total of said defined number exceeds the number of i/o pins available (col. 4, line 44), however, does not expressly teach that the i/o pin configuration storing memory is on-chip and non-volatile.

Allen et al teaches the use of an on-chip non-volatile memory to store i/o pin configuration information (220).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that using an on-chip non-volatile memory is a desirable option that allows a more compact circuit and durable (with power off) storage of data.

The person would have been motivated to incorporate an on-chip non-volatile memory to have programmable data without volatility including the i/o pin configuration data as taught in Allen et al (col. 3, line 30).

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 1, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that <u>Cheung et al</u> does not teach the combination of on-chip memory and the multiple functional blocks with the requirement for a number of pins.

The reference teaches the function blocks with the requirement of a number of pins (col. 5, line 9) and non-volatile memories are routinely incorporated on the same substrate.

Art Unit: 2111

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>Sample et al</u> taught a method of connecting i/o pins to internal logic block using configurable crossbar interface.

May et al taught a method of selectively coupling i/o pins to multiple logic blocks.

Fink et al taught a method of controlling i/o pin functionality.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. .

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

November 8, 2004

KENNETH S. KIM